

A VALUATION CRITERION FOR NORMAL BASIS GENERATORS OF HOPF-GALOIS EXTENSIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC p

NIGEL P. BYOTT

ABSTRACT. Let S/R be a finite extension of discrete valuation rings of characteristic $p > 0$, and suppose that the corresponding extension L/K of fields of fractions is separable and is H -Galois for some K -Hopf algebra H . Let $\mathcal{D}_{S/R}$ be the different of S/R . We show that if S/R is totally ramified and its degree n is a power of p , then any element ρ of L with $v_L(\rho) \equiv -v_L(\mathcal{D}_{S/R}) - 1 \pmod{n}$ generates L as an H -module. This criterion is best possible. These results generalise to the Hopf-Galois situation recent work of G. Elder for Galois extensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of fields with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. The Normal Basis Theorem asserts that there is an element ρ of L whose Galois conjugates $\{\sigma(\rho) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ form a basis for the K -vector space L . Equivalently, L is a free module of rank 1 over the group algebra $K[G]$ with generator ρ . Such an element ρ is called a normal basis generator for L/K . The question then arises whether there is a simple condition on elements ρ of L which guarantees that ρ is a normal basis generator. Specifically, suppose that L is equipped with a discrete valuation v_L . (Throughout, whenever we consider a discrete valuation v_F on a field F , we assume it is normalised so that $v_F(F) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$.) We may then ask whether there exists an integer b such that any $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ is automatically a normal basis generator for L/K . We shall refer to any such b as an *integer certificate* for normal basis generators of L/K . In the case that K has characteristic $p > 0$, and is complete with perfect residue field, this question was recently settled by G. Elder [4]. His result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, complete with respect to the discrete valuation v_K , and with perfect residue field. Let L be a finite Galois extension of K of degree n with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$, let $w = v_L(\mathcal{D}_{L/K})$, where $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$ denotes the different of L/K and v_L is the valuation on L , and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Date: May 18, 2009.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11S15.

Key words and phrases. Normal basis, Hopf-Galois extensions, local fields.

- (a) *If L/K is totally ramified, n is a power of p , and $b \equiv -w - 1 \pmod{n}$, then every $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ is a normal basis generator for L/K .*
- (b) *The result of (a) is best possible in the sense that, if*
 - (i) *n is not a power of p , or*
 - (ii) *L/K is not totally ramified, or*
 - (iii) *$b \not\equiv -w - 1 \pmod{n}$,**then there is some $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ such that ρ is not a normal basis generator for L/K*

The purpose of this paper is to show that Theorem 1, suitably interpreted, applies not just in the setting of classical Galois theory, but also in the setting of Hopf-Galois theory for separable field extensions, as developed by C. Greither and B. Pareigis [5]. A finite separable field extension L/K is said to be H -Galois, where H is a Hopf algebra over K , if L is an H -module algebra and the map $H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(L)$ defining the action of H on L extends to an L -linear isomorphism $L \otimes_K H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(L)$. A Hopf-Galois structure on L/K consists of a K -Hopf algebra H and an action of H on L so that L is H -Galois. This generalises the classical notion of Galois extension: if L/K is a finite Galois extension of fields with Galois group G , we can take H to be the group algebra $K[G]$ with its standard Hopf algebra structure and its natural action on L , and then L/K is H -Galois. A Galois extension may, however, admit many other Hopf-Galois structures in addition to this classical one, and many (but not all) separable extensions which are not Galois nevertheless admit one or more Hopf-Galois structures. Moreover, if L is H -Galois, then L is a free H -module of rank 1 (see the proof of [3, (2.16)]), and, by analogy with the classical case, we will shall refer to any free generator of the H -module L as a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H . Our main result is that Theorem 1 holds in this more general setting:

Theorem 2. *Let S/R be a finite extension of discrete valuation rings of characteristic $p > 0$, and let L/K be the corresponding extension of fields of fractions. Let $n = [L : K]$, let v_L be the valuation on L associated to S , and let $w = v_L(\mathcal{D}_{S/R})$ where $\mathcal{D}_{S/R}$ denotes the different of S/R . Suppose that L/K is separable, and is H -Galois for some K -Hopf algebra H . Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (a) *If L/K is totally ramified, n is a power of p , and $b \equiv -w - 1 \pmod{n}$, then every $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ is a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H .*
- (b) *The result of (a) is best possible in the sense that, if*
 - (i) *n is not a power of p , or*
 - (ii) *L/K is not totally ramified, or*
 - (iii) *$b \not\equiv -w - 1 \pmod{n}$,**then there is some $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ such that ρ is not a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H .*

In Theorem 2, we do not require K to be complete with respect to the valuation v_K on K associated to R , and we do not require the residue field of R to be perfect. Thus, even in the case of Galois extensions (in the classical sense), Theorem 2 is slightly stronger than Theorem 1.

We recall that the different $\mathcal{D}_{S/R}$ is defined as the fractional S -ideal such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{S/R}^{-1} = \{x \in S \mid \text{Tr}_{L/K}(xS) \subseteq R\},$$

where $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ is the trace from L to K . In the case that S/R is totally ramified and L/K is separable, let $p(X) \in R[X]$ be the minimal polynomial over R of a uniformiser Π of S . Then $\mathcal{D}_{S/R}$ is generated by $p'(\Pi)$, where $p'(T)$ denotes the derivative of $p(T)$ [6, III, Cor. 2 to Lemma 2]. (This does not require L/K to be Galois, or the residue field of K to be perfect.) The formulation of Theorem 1(a) in [4] is in terms of $p'(\Pi)$.

If S (and hence L) is complete with respect to v_L , then $\mathcal{D}_{S/R}$ is the same as the different $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$ of the extension L/K of valued fields occurring in Theorem 1. Theorem 2 also applies, however, if K is a global function field of dimension 1 over an arbitrary field k of characteristic p . In particular, if L is an H -Galois extension of K of p -power degree, and some place $\mathfrak{p}$ of K is totally ramified in L/K , then Theorem 2(a) gives an integer certificate for normal basis generators of L/K with respect to H , in terms of the valuation v_L on L corresponding to the unique place $\mathfrak{P}$ of L above $\mathfrak{p}$ and the $\mathfrak{P}$ -part of $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$. If, on the other hand, there is more than one place $\mathfrak{P}$ of L above $\mathfrak{p}$, then the integral closure of R in L is the intersection of the corresponding valuation rings S of L [8, III.3.5]. Thus any one such S will *not* be finite over R , and Theorem 2 does not apply in this case.

We briefly recall the background to the above results. In the (characteristic 0) situation where K a finite extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers, the author and Elder [2] showed the existence of integer certificates for normal basis generators in totally ramified elementary abelian extensions L/K , under the assumption that L/K contains no maximally ramified subfield. This assumption is necessary, since there can be no integer certificate in the case $L = K(\sqrt[p]{\pi})$ with $v_K(\pi) = 1$. (Here K must contain a primitive p th root of unity for L/K to be Galois.) We also raised the question of whether the corresponding result held in characteristic $p > 0$, where the exceptional situation of maximal ramification cannot arise. Our question was answered by L. Thomas [9], who observed that general properties of group algebras of p -groups in characteristic p allow an elegant derivation of integer certificates for arbitrary finite abelian p -groups G . Her result was expressed in terms of the last break in the sequence of ramification groups of L/K , but is equivalent to Theorem 1 for totally ramified abelian p -extensions G . Finally, Elder [4] removed the hypothesis that G is abelian by expressing the result in terms of the valuation of the different, and also gave the converse result that no integer certificate exists if L/K is not totally ramified or is not a p -extension.

We end this introduction by outlining the structure of the paper. In §2, we review the facts we shall need from Hopf-Galois theory, and prove several preliminary results in the case of p -extensions. These show, in effect, that the relevant Hopf algebras behave similarly to the group algebras considered in [9]. In §3 we develop some machinery to handle extensions whose degrees are not powers of p . In [4], such extensions were treated by reducing to a totally and tamely ramified extension. For Hopf-Galois extensions, it is not clear whether such a reduction is always possible. (Indeed, while a totally ramified Galois extension of local fields is always soluble, the author does not know of any reason why such an extension could not admit a Hopf-Galois structure in which the associated group N , as in §2 below, is insoluble.) We therefore adopt a different approach, using a small part of the theory of modular representations. We complete the proof of Theorem 2 in §4. The ramification groups, which play an essential role in the arguments of [4] and [9], are not available in the Hopf-Galois setting, but their use can be avoided by working directly with the inverse different. Finally, in §5, we give an example of a family of extensions which are not Galois, but to which Theorem 2 applies.

2. HOPF-GALOIS THEORY FOR p -EXTENSIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC p

In this section, we briefly recall the description of Hopf-Galois structures on a finite separable field extension L/K , and note some properties of the Hopf algebras H which arise when $[L : K]$ is a power of $p = \text{char}(K)$. We do not make any use of valuations on K and L in this section.

Let E be a (finite or infinite) Galois extension of K containing L . Set $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and $G' = \text{Gal}(E/L)$, and let $X = G/G'$ be the set of left cosets gG' of G' in G . Then G acts by left multiplication on X , giving a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(X)$ into the group of permutations of X . The main result of [5] can be stated as follows: the Hopf-Galois structures on L/K (up to the appropriate notion of isomorphism) correspond bijectively to the regular subgroups N of $\text{Perm}(X)$ which are normalised by G . In the Hopf-Galois structure corresponding to N , the Hopf algebra acting on L is $H = E[N]^G$, the fixed point algebra of the group algebra $E[N]$ under the action of G simultaneously on E (as field automorphisms) and on N (by conjugation inside $\text{Perm}(X)$). The Hopf algebra operations on H are the restrictions of the standard operations on $E[N]$. We write 1_X for the trivial coset G' in X . Then there is a bijection between elements η of N and K -embeddings $\sigma : L \rightarrow E$, given by $\eta \mapsto \sigma_\eta$ where $\sigma_\eta(\rho) = g(\rho)$ with $\eta^{-1}(1_X) = gG'$. The action of H on L can be described explicitly as follows (see e.g. [1, p. 338]):

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\sum_{\eta \in N} \lambda_\eta \eta \right) (\rho) = \sum_{\eta \in N} \lambda_\eta \sigma_\eta(\rho) \text{ for } \sum_{\eta \in N} \lambda_\eta \eta \in H \text{ and } \rho \in L.$$

Remark 2.1. In [5], E is taken to be the the Galois closure E_0 of L over K . In this case, the action of G on X is faithful. However, it is clear that one may take a larger field E as above: all that changes is that G need no longer act faithfully on X . (Indeed, the action of G on both X and L factors through $\text{Gal}(E/E_0)$.) In the proof of Lemma 3.1 below, it will be convenient to take E to be a finite extension of E_0 .

Let L/K be H -Galois, where the Hopf algebra H corresponds to N as above. We define

$$t_H = \sum_{\eta \in N} \eta \in E[N].$$

Proposition 2.2. *We have $t_H \in H$ and, for any $h \in H$,*

$$ht_H = t_H h = \epsilon(h)t_H,$$

where $\epsilon: H \rightarrow K$ is the augmentation. In particular, writing I_H for the augmentation ideal $\ker \epsilon$ of H , we have

$$I_H t_H = t_H I_H = 0.$$

Also, $t_H(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho)$ for any $\rho \in L$.

Proof. Since N is normalised by G , each $g \in G$ permutes the elements of N . Hence $t_H \in E[N]^G = H$. For any $h = \sum_{\nu \in N} \lambda_\nu \nu \in H$, we have

$$ht_H = \sum_{\nu, \eta} \lambda_\nu \nu \eta = \left(\sum_{\nu} \lambda_\nu \right) \left(\sum_{\eta} \eta \right) = \epsilon(h)t_H.$$

In particular, if $h \in I_H$ then $ht_H = \epsilon(h)t_H = 0$, so $I_H t_H = 0$. Similarly $t_H h = \epsilon(h)t_H$ and $t_H I_H = 0$. Finally, for $\rho \in L$ we have

$$t_H(\rho) = \sum_{\eta \in N} \sigma_\eta(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho).$$

□

Remark 2.3. Proposition 2.2 shows that $K \cdot t_H$ is the ideal of (left or right) integrals of H .

Corollary 2.4. *If $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = 0$ then ρ cannot be a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H .*

Proof. If ρ is a free generator for L over H , then the annihilator of ρ in H must be trivial. But if $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = 0$ then ρ is annihilated by $t_H \neq 0$. □

Lemma 2.5. *If $[L : K] = p^m$ for some integer m , then any $\rho \in L$ with $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) \neq 0$ is a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H .*

Proof. We first observe that the augmentation ideal I_H is a nilpotent ideal of H , since $I_H = I_{E[N]} \cap H$ and the augmentation ideal $I_{E[N]}$ of $E[N]$ is a nilpotent ideal of $E[N]$ because $|N| = [L : K] = p^m$. Thus I_H is contained in (and in fact equals) the Jacobson radical J_H of H .

Now consider the H -submodule $M = H \cdot \rho + I_H L$ of L . Since L is a free H -module of rank 1, and $H/I_H \cong K$, the K -subspace $I_H L$ of L has codimension 1. But $\rho \notin I_H L$ since $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(I_H L) = (t_H I_H)L = 0$ by Proposition 2.2, so $M = L$. Since $I_H \subseteq J_H$, Nakayama's Lemma shows that $H \cdot \rho = L$, and, comparing dimensions over K , we see that ρ is a free generator for the H -module L . $\square$

The next result is immediate from Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 2.5

Corollary 2.6. *If $[L : K] = p^m$ then $\rho \in L$ is a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H if and only if $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) \neq 0$. In particular, the set of normal basis generators is the same for all Hopf-Galois structures on L/K .*

3. THE NON- p -POWER CASE

As in Theorem 2, let S/R be a finite extension of discrete valuation rings, such that the corresponding extension L/K of their fields of fractions is H -Galois for some Hopf algebra H . We do not require S and R to be complete. Let v_L, v_K be the corresponding valuations on L, K .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $[L : K]$ is not a power of p . Then H contains nonzero orthogonal idempotents e_1, e_2 with $e_1 + e_2 = 1$, such that*

$$v_L(e_j \rho) \geq v_L(\rho) \text{ for all } \rho \in L \text{ and } j = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Let $[L : K] = p^m r$ where $m \geq 0$ and where $r \geq 2$ is prime to p . We have $H = E[N]^G$ where $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and, in view of Remark 2.1, we may take E to be a finite Galois extension of K , containing L and also containing a primitive r th root of unity ζ_r . Let k' be the algebraic closure in E of the prime subfield $\mathbb{F}_p$. Thus $\zeta_r \in k'$.

Now let t be the number of conjugacy classes in N consisting of elements whose order is prime to p . As $|N| = [L : K]$ is not a power of p , we have $t \geq 2$. For any field F of characteristic p containing ζ_r , the group algebra $A = F[N]$ has exactly t nonisomorphic simple modules [7, 18.2, Corollary 3]. Let J_A denote the Jacobson radical of A . Then the semisimple algebra A/J_A has exactly t Wedderburn components, and therefore has exactly t primitive central idempotents. Since A is a finite-dimensional F -algebra, we may lift these idempotents from A/J_A to A . Thus A has exactly t primitive central idempotents, $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t$ say, and hence has t maximal 2-sided ideals. One of these, say the ideal $(1 - \phi_1)A$ associated to ϕ_1 , is the augmentation ideal I_A .

Taking $F = k'$ in the previous paragraph, we obtain orthogonal idempotents $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t \in k'[N]$. But $k' \subset E$, and taking $F = E$, we find that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t$ are again the primitive central idempotents in $E[N]$. The action of G on $E[N]$ permutes these idempotents, and fixes ϕ_1 since it fixes the augmentation ideal of $E[N]$. Hence $\phi_1 \in H$. Let $e_1 = \phi_1$ and $e_2 = 1 - \phi_1$. Then e_1, e_2 are orthogonal idempotents in $H \cap k'[N]$ with $e_1 + e_2 = 1$, and $e_2 \neq 0$ since $t \geq 2$.

We now show that $v_L(e_j \rho) \geq v_L(\rho)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and for any $\rho \in L$. Since S/R is finite, S is the unique valuation ring of L containing R . Thus each valuation ring T of E containing R must also contain S . (There may be several such T if R is not complete.) Fix one of these valuation rings T of E , and let v_E be the corresponding valuation on E . Then any valuation v' on E with $v'(\mu) = v_E(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in K$ necessarily satisfies $v'(\rho) = v_E(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in L$. In particular, for each $g \in G$, the valuation $v_E \circ g$ on E must have the same restriction to L as v_E . Thus, for each $\eta \in N$, we have $v_E(\sigma_\eta(\rho)) = v_E(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in L$.

Let

$$e_j = \sum_{\eta \in N} \lambda_\eta \eta, \quad \lambda_\eta \in k'.$$

Then, as $e_j \in H$, we have

$$e_j(\rho) = \sum_{\eta \in N} \lambda_\eta \sigma_\eta(\rho)$$

by (2.1). But λ_η is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p$, so either $\lambda_\eta = 0$ or $v_E(\lambda_\eta) = 0$. We then have

$$v_E(e_j \rho) \geq \min_{\eta \in N} (v_E(\lambda_\eta) + v_E(\sigma_\eta(\rho))) \geq 0 + v_E(\rho).$$

As $\rho, e_j \rho \in L$, it follows that $v_L(e_j \rho) \geq v_L(\rho)$ as required. $\square$

We can now prove case (i) of Theorem 2(b).

Corollary 3.2. *Let S/R be as in Theorem 2, and suppose that $[L : K]$ is not a power of p . Then, for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists some $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) = b$ such that ρ is not a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H .*

Proof. Take any $\rho' \in L$ with $v_L(\rho') = b$. With $e_1, e_2 \in H$ as in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\rho' = e_1 \rho' + e_2 \rho', \quad v_L(e_1 \rho') \geq b, \quad v_L(e_2 \rho') \geq b.$$

It follows that at least one of the summands, say $e_1 \rho'$, has valuation b . Set $\rho = e_1 \rho'$. Then $v_L(\rho) = b$ but ρ cannot be a normal basis generator with respect to H , since $e_2 \rho = (e_2 e_1) \rho' = 0$. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For this section, the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are in force. In particular, S/R is a finite extension of discrete valuation rings of characteristic $p > 0$, and the corresponding extension of fields of fractions L/K is separable of degree n . Also, L/K is H -Galois for some K -Hopf algebra H .

By Corollary 3.2, we may assume that $n = [L : K]$ is a power of p . Let e be the ramification index of S/R , let $w = v_L(\mathcal{D}_{S/R})$, and let π and Π be uniformisers for R and S respectively. By definition of the different, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\Pi^{-w} S) \subseteq R, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\Pi^{-w-1} S) \not\subseteq R,$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\Pi^{e-w}S) \subseteq \pi R, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\Pi^{e-w-1}S) = R.$$

Hence there is some $x_1 \in L$ with $v_L(x_1) = e - w - 1$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_1) = 1$. For $2 \leq i \leq e$, pick $x'_i \in L$ with $v_L(x'_i) = e - w - i$, and set $x_i = x'_i - \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x'_i)x_1$. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x'_i) \in R$ and $v_L(x'_i) < v_L(x_1)$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad v_L(x_i) = e - w - i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq e,$$

and clearly

$$(4.2) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We first consider the totally ramified case $e = n$. Then $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is a K -basis for L , since the $v_L(x_i)$ represent all residue classes modulo n .

Let $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) \equiv -w - 1 \pmod{n}$. We may write

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

with the $a_i \in K$. Then $v_L(\rho) = \min_i \{nv_K(a_i) + (n - w - i)\}$. The hypothesis on ρ means that the minimum must occur for $i = 1$. In particular, $a_1 \neq 0$. Then, by (4.2), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_i) = a_1 \neq 0,$$

and by Lemma 2.5, ρ is a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H . This completes the proof of Theorem 2(a).

Next let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b \not\equiv -1 - w \pmod{n}$. Then $b = n(s + 1) - w - i$ with $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\rho = \pi^s x_i$, so $v_L(\rho) = b$ by (4.1). But $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = 0$ by (4.2), so that ρ cannot be a normal basis generator by Corollary 2.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 for totally ramified extensions.

Finally, suppose that S/R is not totally ramified. Given $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $b = e(s + 1) - w - i$ with $1 \leq i \leq e$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $i \neq 1$ then $\rho = \pi^s x_i$ satisfies $v_L(\rho) = b$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = 0$, so as before ρ cannot be a normal basis generator. It remains to consider the case $i = 1$. Let l, k be the residue fields of S, R respectively. Then l/k has degree $f > 1$ with $ef = n$, although l/k need not be separable. Pick $\omega \in l$ with $\omega \notin k$, let $\Omega \in S$ be any element whose image in l is ω , and set

$$\rho = \pi^s(\Omega - \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_1\Omega))x_1.$$

Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_1\Omega) \in \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathcal{D}_{S/R}^{-1}) \subseteq R$. Since ω and 1 are elements of l which are linearly independent over k , it follows that $v_L(\Omega - \mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(x_1\Omega)) = v_L(\Omega) = 0$, and hence $v_L(\rho) = es + v_L(x_1) = b$. But once more we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/K}(\rho) = 0$, so that ρ cannot be a normal basis generator for L/K with respect to H . This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. AN EXAMPLE

We end with an example of a family of extensions L/K which are H -Galois for a suitable Hopf algebra H , but which are not Galois. Theorem 2 will give an integer certificate for normal basis generators in L/K , although Theorem 1 is not applicable.

Fix a prime number p and an integer $f \geq 2$, and set $q = p^f$. Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p((T))$ be the field of formal Laurent series over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of p elements. Then K is complete with respect to the discrete valuation v_K such that $v_K(T) = 1$, and the valuation ring is $R = \mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$. Let $b > 0$ be an integer which is not divisible by p , let $\alpha \in K$ be any element with $v_K(\alpha) = -b$, and let $L = K(\theta)$, where θ is a root of the polynomial $g(X) = X^q - X - \alpha \in K[X]$. Also, let F be the unramified extension $F = \mathbb{F}_q K$ of K (where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the field of q elements), and let $E = LF$. Then E is the splitting field of g over K , and the roots of g in E are $\{\theta + \omega \mid \omega \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Thus E is the Galois closure of L/K , and, in particular, L/K is not Galois. We are therefore in the situation of §2, with $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ of order fq , and with $G' = \text{Gal}(E/L) \cong \text{Gal}(F/K) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p)$ cyclic of order f . Moreover, G' has a normal complement $N = \text{Gal}(E/F) \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ in G . Thus, in the terminology of [5, §4], L/K is an almost classically Galois extension. It therefore admits at least one Hopf-Galois structure, namely that corresponding to the group N .

Now E/F is totally ramified of degree q , and the ramification filtration of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ has only one break, occurring at b . Hence, by Hilbert's formula [6, IV, Prop. 4], $v_E(\mathcal{D}_{E/F}) = (b+1)(q-1)$. As E/L and F/K are unramified, it follows that L/K is totally ramified, and, using the transitivity of the different [6, III, Prop. 8], that $v_L(\mathcal{D}_{L/K}) = (b+1)(q-1)$. Thus Theorem 2(a) applies with $w \equiv -1-b \pmod{q}$. Hence any $\rho \in L$ with $v_L(\rho) \equiv b \pmod{q}$ is a normal basis generator with respect to *any* Hopf-Galois structure on L/K .

Remark 5.1. We can equally well apply the above construction to produce global examples, where K is not complete: take K be a function field of dimension 1 with field of constants $\mathbb{F}_p$, take v_K to be the valuation corresponding to any place of K of degree 1, and take T to be any element of K with $v_K(T) = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.P. Byott, *Integral Hopf-Galois structures on degree p^2 extensions of p -adic fields*. J. Algebra **248** (2002), 334–365.
- [2] N.P. Byott, G.G. Elder, *A valuation criterion for normal bases in elementary abelian extensions*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **39** (2007), 705–708.
- [3] L.N. Childs, *Taming Wild Extensions: Hopf Algebras and Local Galois Module Theory*. American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [4] G.G. Elder, *A valuation criterion for normal basis generators in local fields of characteristic p* . Preprint, 2008; arXiv:0802.1619v1 [math.NT].

- [5] C. Greither, B. Pareigis, *Hopf Galois theory for separable field extensions*. J. Algebra **106** (1987), 239–258.
- [6] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields*. Springer, 1979.
- [7] J.-P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Springer, 1996.
- [8] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*. Springer, 1993.
- [9] L. Thomas, *A valuation criterion for normal basis generators in equal positive characteristic*. J. Algebra **300** (2008), 2811–3820.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, EXETER EX4 4QF,
UK

E-mail address: N.P.Byott@ex.ac.uk